



First Quarter Report

by 1 through March 31, 2006

Submitted to EPA on April 27, 2006

document and all attachments were prepared under my direction to ensure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate information from the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons

e Program

ship

n-Compliance With the Consent Decree

ables

tipulated Penalties

rflows (SSOs), Bypasses, Diversions, and Ef

ns

compliance with and activities related to implementation of Phase 2 CAP/ER, including: the status of compliance with the schedules that have been established pursuant to these projects; and schedule deadlines and milestones for the next quarter and expected during the next Calendar Quarter; compliance with and activities related to implementation of and compliance with the Phase 2 CAP/ER; the implementation of the Capacity Assurance Program, including the number of, and anticipated, projects that have been authorized, by Sewerbasin, a design of projects authorized and the number of credits earned or anticipated for those projects, by Sewerbasin, and any other essential services;

of any transfer of an ownership interest, operation, or control of the Treatment Works, or any portion thereof;

of the status of compliance or non-compliance with the CAP/ER, and, if applicable, the reasons for non-compliance;

are subject to stipulated penalties under Section 115.05 of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act.

Performance Evaluation and Composite Composite
the development of those deliverables.

Controls Program – Summary of implementation of

Compliance Program - Summarizes the status of the

Ownership – Identifies any transfers of ownership
control of the treatment works, or any portion thereof

and Non-Compliance with the Consent Decree -
Compliance with requirements of the Consent Decree

Bypasses, Diversions, and Effluent Limit Violations –
Bypasses, Diversions, and effluent limit violations

Monitoring Data – Summarizes all sampling data
and the projected data collection for the first quarter

DEC regarding EPA's comments on the Phase

0-day response to EPA's comments on the Pha

, subsequently, the Consent Decree. The objective of the facility improvements needed to address the condition of the system during the period of 2001-2004 with the Long-Term List and to support future growth is to submit a Phase 1 CAP/ER to EPA on October 28, 2005. Comments were received on EPA's letter, KUB submitted a 30-day response to EPA. The revised Phase 1 CAP/ER will be submitted to EPA on April 30, 2008.

Facility Improvement Plan for FY 04/05 and FY 05/06

Facility improvement projects included in the CAP/ER for FY 04/05 (July 1, 2004, to June 30, 2005) and FY 05/06 (July 1, 2005, to June 30, 2006) during the reporting period included construction, and completion. Many of these projects are in the inspection (i.e. flow measurement, smoke testing, etc.) and design phase of the project. Projects may include manhole rehabilitation/replacement, main rehabilitation, and lower lateral rehabilitation/replacement. Projects may also include wet-weather storage. Each of these projects is included in the CAP/ER.

is to be inspected. Project includes inspection
(ns), design, and rehabilitation of lines requiring
Collector Rehab (Mini-basin 10B1) – Assess and
approximately 16,400 lf of collector pipe. Condition As
y
son Collector Rehab (Mini-basin 10C1) – As
approximately 19,000 lf of collector pipe. Condit
n is underway
age - Design 4.5 MG wet-weather storage tan
estern Avenue and Third Creek Road during ra
1* - Find and design rehabilitation needs for c
ely 7,900 lf of pipe). Project includes inspection
(ns), design, and rehabilitation of lines requiring
ent and preliminary design analysis is complet
ture rehabilitation project. No construction is p
in 11 - Find and design rehabilitation needs for
129,657 lf). Project includes inspection (CCT

control sewer overflows near Walker Springs Pump Station is underway. Substantial completion expected.

Rehabilitation Phase III - Rehabilitate approximately 1. Project includes inspection (CCTV, smoke test), design, and rehabilitation of lines requiring repair. Substantial completion is expected in May 2006.

Pump Station - Project will include evaluation of pump capacity. Project will also include improvement of valve vault. Design is underway.

Trunkline C - Project will examine major trunkline in Knoxville. Project will include field survey, line inspection report will be prepared to present recommendations. The preliminary design study is complete. Construction is underway.

Trunk Line Replacement - Design and construction of 1,000 lf of 24-inch sewer to correct structural problems. Construction is complete.

4. Project includes inspection (CCTV, smoke
gn, and rehabilitation of lines requiring repair.

ern, and Canna - Replace approximately 1,70
imately 3,400 lf of 8-inch up to 10-inch and 12
city restrictions resulting in overflows near Ple

Sewer Replacement - Design and construct r
ver that has been partially exposed by erosion o
channel. [Complete]

Design and construct replacement of 800–1,20
spoon Superfund site. Design will reroute sewe
Phase I and Phase II - Relocate, rehabilitate, and
g collector sewers to increase conveyance capa

Rehabilitation - Rehabilitate 9,400 lf and replace

and TSS meters)

supporting standard operating procedures (SOP)
training for operations staff

mp Dresser and McKee Inc. (CDM) and KUB
appropriate personnel were trained and the pro
ations. Once training of the program was comp
e PCP guided the decisions made during peak v
WWTP (3/14/06). All parameters were follow
with the program. KUB is currently within the
PE.

ed Order until the Consent Decree CAP is app

st of all new wastewater service connections th

since the TDEC CAP was implemented in Ma

nnctions that have been approved but have no

past quarter. Also noted are the dates each submitted to the Public Document Repository (PDR), when EPA responded with comments, when KUB received EPA approval was received.

Process Controls Program

see language, page 57: *"No later than one-hundred days after the Date of Entry, KUB shall submit a Process Controls Program for Fourth Creek and Loves Creek WWTPs for use under the following conditions."*

The Process Controls Program in the PDR on April 1 Deliverable was available for public comment from April 15, 2005. No comments were received during the comment period. This deliverable on July 8, 2005. EPA disapproved the deliverable to the extent of the comments and delays in submission. EPA to discuss the comments in greater detail, on September 16, 2005. EPA approved the external deliverable was submitted to EPA on November 16, 2005. EPA approved on January 9, 2006.

free language, page 30: *"No later than three-h
after the Date of Entry, KUB shall submit an C*

the Capacity Assurance Program in the PDR
y Level 1 Deliverable was available for public
til January 16, 2006. No comments were recei
tted this deliverable on February 8, 2006.

Corrective Action Plan/Engineering Report

free language, page 23: *"On or before Novemb
ase 1 Corrective Action Plan/Engineering Rep
he conditions causing SSOs with the goal of eli
the Long-Term List."*

the Phase 1 Corrective Action Plan/Engineeri
2, 2005. This Review Level 1 Deliverable was
om September 12, 2005, until October 12, 200
ring that period. KUB submitted this deliverabl
able was disapproved by EPA on February 23,
met with EPA and TDEC regarding comment

g period, KUB incurred five Unpermitted Discharges. Two blockages caused by grease, two were due to hydraulic retention failure. Table 1 below lists all violations stipulated in the Consent Decree.

Subject to Stipulated Penalties

Date	Address	Category
1/17/06	2505 Delrose Drive	Hydraulic
1/17/06	436 Maryville Pike	Hydraulic
1/17/06	4132 W Martin Mill Pike	Blockage
1/26/06	4713 Old Broadway	Category
3/20/06	1817 Rocky View Way	Blockage

*and Diversion; and the total Bypass or Diversion
mit violations, all information required to be re
oring Reports. ”*

SSOs that occurred during this reporting period
events. Of that number, 18 were due to blockage,
ombination thereof, four were due to heavy rain,
, two were due to broken pipe, two were due to
hanical failure. Of the 30 SSO events, 21 were
were in the 1001 – 10,000 range, and three even
ations for events during this period are as follow
d from 2.1 – 5 hours, and five lasted more than

considered significant because of the lengthy
after a contractor failed to reconnect a service
rd the homeowner had ongoing issues with slo
traced back to the date the contractor complet
volume of the event was estimated based on th

1/17/06	18:30	1/18/06	00:30	1/17/06
-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-

an nt ur?	Date	Parameter	Type	Limit
S	3/20/06	TSS	Daily Max	45 mg/l
S	2/18/06	TSS	Daily Max	45 mg/l
0	-	-	-	-
0	-	-	-	-

Appendix A

Authorized Sewer Connections

835	1250	4th Creek	4th
1002	1500	4th Creek	4th
0	0	4th Creek	4th
0	0	4th Creek	4th
167	250	4th Creek	4th
167	250	4th Creek	4th
334	500	4th Creek	4th
3841	5750	4th Creek	4th
167	250	4th Creek	4th
167	250	4th Creek	4th
167	250	4th Creek	4th
167	250	4th Creek	4th
167	250	4th Creek	4th
167	250	4th Creek	4th
167	250	4th Creek	4th
167	250	4th Creek	4th
334	500	4th Creek	4th
668	1000	4th Creek	4th
668	1000	4th Creek	4th
0	0	4th Creek	4th
1336	2000	4th Creek	4th
1503	2250	4th Creek	4th
167	250	4th Creek	4th
167	250	4th Creek	4th
167	250	4th Creek	4th

[illegible]

501	750	Eastbridge	Ea
167	250	Eastbridge	Ea
167	250	Eastbridge	Ea
167	250	Eastbridge	Ea
167	250	Eastbridge	Ea
167	250	Eastbridge	Ea
167	250	Eastbridge	Ea
167	250	Eastbridge	Ea
167	250	Eastbridge	Ea
167	250	Eastbridge	Ea
167	250	Eastbridge	Ea
167	250	Eastbridge	Ea
167	250	Eastbridge	Ea
167	250	Eastbridge	Ea
167	250	Eastbridge	Ea
167	250	Eastbridge	Ea
167	250	Eastbridge	Ea
167	250	Eastbridge	Ea
167	250	Eastbridge	Ea
167	250	Eastbridge	Ea
167	250	Eastbridge	Ea
167	250	1st Creek	Ku
175	250	1st Creek	Ku

167	250	1st Creek	Ku
167	250	1st Creek	Ku
167	250	1st Creek	Ku
167	250	1st Creek	Ku
167	250	1st Creek	Ku
167	250	1st Creek	Ku
167	250	1st Creek	Ku
167	250	1st Creek	Ku
167	250	1st Creek	Ku
167	250	1st Creek	Ku
167	250	1st Creek	Ku
167	250	1st Creek	Ku
167	250	1st Creek	Ku
167	250	1st Creek	Ku
4200	6300	1st Creek	Ku
167	250	South Knox / Knob Cr	Ku
167	250	1st Creek	Ku
167	250	3rd Creek	Ku
167	250	1st Creek	Ku
167	250	1st Creek	Ku
167	250	1st Creek	Ku
700	1050	3rd Creek	Ku
167	250	1st Creek	Ku
167	250	1st Creek	Ku
167	250	1st Creek	Ku

167	250	1st Creek	Ku
1503	2250	South Knox / Knob Cr	Ku
1503	2250	South Knox / Knob Cr	Ku
3006	4500	South Knox / Knob Cr	Ku
3173	4750	3rd Creek	Ku
3006	4500	South Knox / Knob Cr	Ku
1503	2250	South Knox / Knob Cr	Ku
1503	2250	South Knox / Knob Cr	Ku
3340	5000	South Knox / Knob Cr	Ku
835	1250	South Knox / Knob Cr	Ku
2672	4000	South Knox / Knob Cr	Ku
2171	3250	South Knox / Knob Cr	Ku
2505	3750	South Knox / Knob Cr	Ku
2505	3750	South Knox / Knob Cr	Ku
167	250	South Knox / Knob Cr	Ku
0	0	1st Creek	Ku
0	0	1st Creek	Ku
167	250	3rd Creek	Ku
167	250	WKUD	Ku
167	250	WKUD	Ku
1503	2250	2nd Creek	Ku
334	500	Williams Creek	Ku
167	250	WKUD	Ku
167	250	1st Creek	Ku

[illegible]

167	250	2nd Creek	Ku
167	250	3rd Creek	Ku
167	250	3rd Creek	Ku
2839	4250	3rd Creek	Ku
2839	4250	3rd Creek	Ku
835	1250	3rd Creek	Ku
3340	5000	3rd Creek	Ku
334	500	3rd Creek	Ku
334	500	3rd Creek	Ku
2839	4250	3rd Creek	Ku
2839	4250	3rd Creek	Ku
334	500	3rd Creek	Ku
3507	5250	3rd Creek	Ku
2839	4250	3rd Creek	Ku
3507	5250	3rd Creek	Ku
3507	5250	3rd Creek	Ku
2672	4000	3rd Creek	Ku
2839	4250	3rd Creek	Ku
2839	4250	3rd Creek	Ku
2839	4250	3rd Creek	Ku
167	250	3rd Creek	Ku
167	250	3rd Creek	Ku
167	250	3rd Creek	Ku
167	250	3rd Creek	Ku

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

Appendix B

SSOs

Appendix C

Building Backups

nd Creek	15	BBU	The sewer main was flushed to remove the blockage caused by grease and
l Creek	29	BBU	The sewer main was flushed to remove the blockage caused by debris

Appendix D

Water Quality Monitoring Program Sampling Results

45	8.3	10	11.9	8.2
38	8.4	10	12.0	9.1
22	8.1	14	9.8	8.2
45	7.7	12	14.3	40
30	8.5	12	11.2	11
15	7.9	15	12.1	70
45	8.1	12	8.1	17
10	7.8	12	8.2	13
29	7.3	10	6.7	<1
45	7.8	13	13.9	370
58	7.6	10	13.7	9
45	7.2	15	12.0	10

02	8.8	11	10.0	19
58	7.4	10	12.1	10
44	7.2	15	10.0	10
10	8.6	13	9.8	20
48	10.4	12	9.5	14
39	9.2	15	7.5	54
25	7.6	11	7.8	14
51	7.7	10	9.8	100
38	7.8	12	10.0	54
17	7.7	17	8.1	10
27	7.6	14	8.7	60
07	8.1	11	10.1	8

04	7.7	16	10.7	9
11	8.2	12	10.2	12
20	7.8	14	9.8	30
00	8.8	15	8.5	32
50	8.6	16	11.1	38
40	8.6	17	8.5	90

14	7.8	9	9.4	11
44	7.7	6	10.8	45
37	7.8	6	11	99
47	7.8	15	9.9	25
39	7.7	16	10.4	22
12	7.8	15	9.4	38

17	7.5	14	13.1	70
03	8.0	14	11.5	70
53	8.8	14	13.2	18
10	8.3	18	11.4	24
55	7.2	17	11.0	64
35	8.4	15	12.3	80

15	8.7	10	11.1	11
56	8.1	8	11.5	11
37	8.8	12	8.2	30
58	9.9	17	11.9	27
40	8.4	18	11.3	18
33	8.3	16	12.4	00

4,025 gallons

There was no industry upstream of the SSO, therefore no P collected.

-25-06: 0.00 inches rain

-26-06: 0.00 inches rain

-27-06: 0.00 inches rain

Sample Time	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	Temperature (Celsius)	p
13:35	7.5	13.3	8.
13:40	9.1	8.8	8.
13:39	8.5	8.0	8.

0,800 gallons

There was no industry upstream of the SSO, therefore no P collected.

3-19-06: 0.00 inches rain

3-20-06: 0.64 inches rain

3-21-06: 0.23 inches rain

Sample Time	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l)	Temperature (Celsius)	p
10:20	11.0	10.3	7.
10:05	12.7	9.5	7.
10:40	10.8	9.4	8.
10:30	11.3	9.3	9.

0.3	13.7	7.3	0	11
52	10.6	7.6	0	11
57	*	*	0	*
79 T	10.1	7.3	0	13
79	12.7	7.6	*	13
791	12.3	4.6	0	13
84	*	7.8	*	14.
88 T	9.3	6.7	0	16
89	12.6	7.6	0	15
92 T	7.5	6.7	0	16
94	13.7	7.8	0	16
41T	7.6	6.8	0	16
95	11.7	7.9	0	16
.0	12.9	7.9	0	17
09	13.7	8	0	17
.3	12.7	7.5	0	12
33	11.5	7.6	0	12
47T	*	*	0	*
58	9.8	7.6	0	12
66	9.2	7.4	0	13
67T	12	7.5	0	12
68	9	7.4	0	14
72	9.8	7.3	0	15